UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Strategy choice for physical reasoning is (partially) sensitive to cognitive costs

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7t69q5qr

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 45(45)

Authors

Ngo, Thomas Cheyette, Samuel J. Tenenbaum, Josh <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2023

Peer reviewed

Strategy choice for physical reasoning is (partially) sensitive to cognitive costs

Thomas Ngo

Massachussetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

Samuel Cheyette

Massachussetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

Josh Tenenbaum

MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

Kevin Smith

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

Abstract

If we want to know whether a stack of blocks will fall, we might form our judgment by using mental simulation or simple heuristics. How do we know which strategy to use? Here, we test whether people's strategy selection is "resource rational," balancing their utilities and costs. We had participants judge how a balance beam will fall – a task that relies on both simulation and heuristics – and imposed visuospatial cognitive load to increase the cost of mental simulation, expecting the use of simulation to then decrease and the use of heuristics to increase. When cognitive load varied between participants, higher load led to less simulation. However, when load varied across trials for an individual participant, they instead used simulation at about the same rate as high-load-only participants. Thus strategy selection is sensitive to cognitive costs but it is also sticky, not accounting for rapidly fluctuating costs.